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# Preface

# Introduction

TODO: most of essay will be under here.

## Background

From Essay: Background and introduction chapter (minus the “in this paper “stuff)

## Essaychapters..

## Problem statement

## Outline

## Terminology

TODO: Define framework == library in some cases (socket.io, SignalR)  
TODO: Transports == WebSockets, SSE, Long-Polling….

# Methodology

TODO: Where to write how many frameworks??  
TODO: What tense to write in??

To be able to compare the five frameworks, I need to have a complete impression of each–both what they deliver in form of usability and how they perform. Consequently, the work on(TODO: with?) this thesis will be split into two parts.

In the first part the frameworks will be reviewed from a programmers perspective. This includes aspects like documentation, API, learning curve and other elements concerning general usability.

The second part will look at how well each framework performs. Each framework will be put through a series of load tests for different scenarios. In the end, this will give objective results as opposed to the more opinion-based testing I will do in the first part.

## Selection criteria

Screening for the five frameworks that will be featured in the thesis, will be done by the criteria described in this section. Each of the selected framework will have to stand out from the rest in order to be considered for further research.

TODO: subsections describing each criteria or just a bullet list?

### WebSockets support

A framework does not need to offer WebSockets support in order to be considered, but it must at least mention plans for it, either in a roadmap or somewhere else on the frameworks homepage. However, if WebSockets is not supported, the framework has to offer some unique design or functionality that makes it worthwhile for a deeper study.

### Fallbacks

Supporting as many systems as possible is almost always the goal for computer software. For a web application framework that generally means supporting all major browsers. Certain transports are unavailable to older browsers. Therefore, a good real time framework has fallbacks in order to support as many browsers as possible. If a framework has support for one transport only, it is not eligiblefor this thesis.

### Documentation

Learning something new without reading about it first is generally a near impossible task. Any framework without documentation will not be considered at all–no matter the impression it gives regarding any of the other criteria in this section. If documentation is present, but incomplete, the framework will need to offer something special to be a part of this thesis.

### Presentation

It should be easy to find information about the framework. Furthermore, the information offered should be relevant and not just superfluous text to make it look more appealing. The general impression the framework gives has to be professional, meaning that the homepage, or GitHub page, should not have a lot of flashing lights and other unappealing elements.

### Testing

Being able to write automated tests is crucial to make any application maintainable. A framework therefore needs to give some indication that you can write testable code with it. Any framework that clearly states that it does not support unit tests, has to offer some unique design or functionality for it to be considered.

### Community

The purpose of any software is to be used by someone. Many real time frameworks are brand new, and thus has very small user bases. This is not necessarily negative, but a very new framework is probably not mature enough to be one of the five frameworks I will study. Older frameworks that still has small communities though, will not be selected.

### Other

The following criteria are considered less important, but still count towards the final screening decision:

* **Sessions:** The ability to store session data is not relevant for a library that is meant for direct integration with existing web application frameworks like for instance the .NET Framework.
* **Tutorials and demos:** Though it is preferable to have tutorials and demos to help with the learning process, it is not required.
* **Collaborators:** If a framework is already in use in production code of well known applications, it is definitely an advantage. However, considering that some frameworks might be quite new, the absence of large collaborators is not considered crucial.

## Evaluation process

TODO: write about testapplication

## Evaluation criteria

## Performance testing

TODO: write about the test cases

# Part 1 (TODO: change this)

# Part 2 (TODO: change this)

# Results? Or under each part?

# Discussion

TODO: Gain of using frameworks?  
TODO: When to use real time?

# Conclusion